

Abstract:

Two compression and two bending tests using X80 high-strain line pipes with 30 inches (762 mm) in outside diameter were conducted to investigate its compression capacity and bending capacity. The compression test revealed that the pipes had the critical compressive strain of 0.90 and 0.78% and the bending test clarified that the 2OD (two times outside diameter) average critical compressive strains were 2.40 and 2.15% and the 1OD average were 2.67 and 2.28%, respectively. The test results proved that X80 high-strain linepipes satisfy requirements from pipeline projects and ensure pipeline integrity in seismic and permafrost areas.

1. Introduction

The use of high-strength line pipes in pipeline projects has been increasing rapidly in recent years. The high-strength line pipes have many advantages, such as high strength, low weight, and good weldability. However, the high-strength line pipes also have some disadvantages, such as low ductility and low toughness. Therefore, it is necessary to study the mechanical properties of high-strength line pipes under various loading conditions. This paper reports the results of two compression and two bending tests on X80 high-strain line pipes. The test results show that the high-strength line pipes have good compression and bending capacity, and they can meet the requirements of pipeline projects in seismic and permafrost areas.

e ea he b . The a e def a a e a
 b e ed e e C-2.

The he₁₁ e f e e C-1 b a ed he
 FEA h₁₁ **Fig. 4.** The ef-ha d a d gh-ha d
 ^g e h he e f he ca,c a ha eg,ec
 he ge e c e fec (OD + WT) a d ha

a a e f d f h e e a e a a g e f h e
 a e g h f h e e e a d d c a e a a e a g e
 b e d g a e a e h e a e e g h.
 A h h e g e , h e c c a b e d g e
 a d a e a g e b e d g a f e e B-1 e e
 5.08 MN a d 1.85% , e e c e , h e h e f
 B-2 e e 5.80 MN a d 1.65%. T h e c c a b e d g
 e f B-1 a 22% a e h a h a f B-2, a d
 h e a e a g e b e d g a f B-1 a 20% a g e h a
 h a f B-2.

**4.2 Finite Element Analyses
of the Bending Tests**

T e e B-1 a d B-2 e e d e d g f -
 d e h e e e b h e a e e h d a e d h e
 FEA f h e c e e e (C-1 a d C-2). T h e
 d d e d e e e f B-1 a d B-2 e e h e a e a
 h e f C-1 a d C-2. T h e e e e f h e e a a-
 a a d e d b f - d e h e e e e a d h e
 e a a d e d b b e a e e e .

4.2.1 Finite element analysis of test pipe B-1

F g e 5 h h e e f FEA h c h a
 g e g e e c e f e c a c d e d f e
 e e 1-AACa*CaA.07Ca,A.A.; X G6X E5DCe-Q.Q-Q-**.STD X-BAA6' .A6'C C ...)1C:f E5D e11*H 1C *1.A6'C *A6'C STI

a he he_{II} e be ed he be d g e h
Ph 4.

4.4 Average Critical Compressive Strain

I Sec 4.2 ab e, he a ca ac f a X80-HSLP LP ba ed he be d g e e e ed a he a e age c ca_I be d g a . I h ec , h e e , e e e he a ca ac g he a e age c ca_I a , a a e age f he c e e a . **Figure 11** h he e a h a g be d g a , c e - e a , a d e e a a ec f e e B-1 e c e a he_{II} e .

The a e age c ca_I c e e a ge e a ed he he ga ge e g h de ed a he a e g h (L) e e ed a ϵ_{cL} . The a e age c ca_I c e e a ge e a ed he he ga ge e g h he e - de d a e e (1D) a d ce (2D) a e e e ed a ϵ_{cD} a d ϵ_{c2D} , e ec e_I .

The a e f he a e age c ca_I c e e a (ϵ_{cL} , ϵ_{c2D} , ϵ_{cD}) ba ed he be d g e f e e B-1 e e 1.91% , 2.40% , a d 2.67% , e ec e_I . I he FEA, he c e a e h g h - acc ac e e e ba ed e e he he (OD + WT + BL) e - fec e e c de ed, a d he a e f he c ca_I a e age c e e a e e 2.01% , 2.28% , a d 2.40% . A a a e f h e , he a e age c - ca_I a c ea e a he ga ge e g h de c ea e .

The a e f a e age c ca_I c e e a

(ϵ_{cL} , ϵ_{c2D} , ϵ_{cD}) ba ed he be d g e f e e B-2 e e 1.85% , 2.15% , a d 2.21% , e ec e_I . The a e f he a e age c ca_I c e e a ba ed he he (OD + WT + BL) e fec e e c de ed e e 1.84% , 2.12% , a d 2.21% . A h e e B-1, he a e age c e e c ca_I a c ea ed a he ga ge e g h de c ea ed. Beca e he YS/TS f e e B-2 a ge ha ha f B-1, he a e age c ca_I c e e e a f B-2 a a e ha ha f B-1 . T he ca c a e f he FEA e a ed e e B-1 a d B-2, e c c de ha e ca acc - a e e a e he a ca ac f e h a d be d g b c de g e ge e c e fec , c d g a WT e fec . Th e c b a f ge e c e fec ca be 2(a)-12()-12()-17(b)-12(e)-12(

e ec e_i.

(2) The effect of genetic selection on the carcass yield of the heifer and the effect of the carcass yield on the carcass yield of the heifer.

(3) The effect of genetic selection on the carcass yield of the heifer and the effect of the carcass yield on the carcass yield of the heifer.

A decrease in the carcass yield of the heifer and the effect of the carcass yield on the carcass yield of the heifer.

References

- 1) G_ie, A. A carcass yield of Gade 550 (X80) and Gade 690 (X100) A c b C_i a e. P c. f P e D e a e ' C f e - e c e A_i c a_i a d E a_i a f H g h-G a d e L e e H_i e E_i e .2002.
- 2) S_i, N.; E d , S.; Y h a a , M.; T d a, M. Effect of S a -h a d e g E e I e a c L c a_i B c_i g S e g h a d M e c h a c a_i P e e f L e e . P c. f h e 20 h O M A E . 2001, a e_i . O M A E 2001 / M A T 3104.
- 3) S_i, N.; G_ie, A.; Zh_i, J.; T d a, M. B e d g C a a c - f H g h S_i e g h L e P e P c. f h e 4 h I . C f. P e_i e T e c h_i g . 2004, i_i 3, . 1361 1374.
- 4) S_i, N.; K_id , J.; E d , S.; I h a a , N.; O a , M.; S h a a , J. Effect of G e e c I e f e c B e d g C a a c f X 80 L e e . P c. f h e 6 h I . P e_i e C f. 2006, a e_i . I P C 2006-10070.
- 5) R a b e g , W.; O g d , W. R. D e c f S e -S a C e b T h e e P a a e e . N A C A . T N . 902, 1943.
- 6) S_i, N.; T d a, M. C a_i C e e e S a f L e e R e a e d W -H a d e_i g P a a e e . P c. f h e 21 I . C f. O f f h e M e c h a c a d E g e e g . 2002, a e_i . O M A E 2002 -28253.